

Effective on 12/08/04

Complete if Known

FEE TRANSMITTAL SHEET (FY 2005)

Application No. 10/767,837
 Filing Date January 29, 2004
 First Inventor Roney Graf
 Group Art Unit 3761
 Examiner Name Unknown
 Atty. Docket Number 33875/US

METHOD OF PAYMENT (Check One)

☒ Check ☐ Credit Card ☐ Money Order

☒ Deposit Account No.: 04-1420

Deposit Account Name: **DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP**

For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)

☒ Charge any additional fees or underpayments of fee(s) under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 and 1.17

☒ Credit any overpayments

☐ Other (please identify):

Extra Claim Fees

Current Claims	Prior	Extra	Fee	Fee Paid
Total	20	x	\$	\$
Ind.	3	x	\$	\$
Multiple Dependent Claims		x	\$	\$
Total (Extra Claims)				\$

Petition Fee Under 37 CFR 1.17(f), (g), & (h)

Enclosed is a Petition filed under 37 CFR as indicated below:

☐ Petition Fee under 37 CFR 1.17(f) **Fee \$400**

§ 1.53(e) to accord a filing date.
 § 1.57(a) to accord a filing date.
 § 1.182 for decision on a question not provided for.
 § 1.183 to suspend the rules.
 § 1.378(e) for reconsideration of decision on petition refusing delayed payment of maintenance fee in expired patent.
 § 1.174(b) to accord a filing date to an application under § 1.740 for extension of patent term.

☐ Petition Fee under 37 CFR 1.17(g) **Fee \$200**

§ 1.12 for access to an assignment record.
 § 1.14 for access to an application.
 § 1.47 for filing by other than all inventors or person not the inventor.
 § 1.59 for expungement of information.
 § 1.103(a) to suspend action in an application.
 § 1.136(b) for review of a request for ext. of time when § 1.136(a) not avail.
 § 1.295 for review of refusal to publish a statutory invention registration.
 § 1.296 to withdraw a req. for pub. after notice of intent to publish issued.
 § 1.377 for review of decision refusing to accept a maintenance fee filed prior to expiration of a patent.
 § 1.550(c) for request for ext. of time in *ex parte* reexam. proceedings.
 § 1.956 for request for ext. of time in *ex parte* reexam. proceedings.
 § 5.12 for expedited handling of foreign filing license.
 § 5.15 for changing the scope of a license.
 § 1.5.25 for retroactive license.

☒ Petition Fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h) **Fee \$130**

§ 1.19(g) to request documents in a form other than provided in this part.
 § 1.84 for accepting color drawings or photographs.
 § 1.91 for entry of a model or exhibit.
 § 1.102(d) to make an application special.
 § 1.138(c) to expressly abandon an application to avoid publication.
 § 1.313 to withdraw an application from issue.
 § 1.314 to defer issuance of a patent.

FEE CALCULATION (Continued)

3. ADDITIONAL FEES

Large Entity Fee	Small Entity Fee	Fee Description	Fee paid
50	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet	
130	65	Surcharge - Late nonprovisional filing fee or oath	
180	180	Submission of IDS	
40	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	
120	60	Extension for reply within first month	
450	225	Extension for reply within second month	
1,020	510	Extension for reply within third month	
1,590	795	Extension for reply within fourth month	
2,160	1,080	Extension for reply within fifth month	
790	395	Submission After Final 1.129	
500	250	Notice of Appeal	
500	250	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	
1,000	500	Request for oral hearing	
130	65	Terminal Disclaimer Fee	
1,400	700	Utility & Reissue Issue Fee	
800	400	Design Issue Fee	
790	395	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	
300		Request for voluntary publication or republication	
500	250	Petition to Revive - unavoidable	
1,500	750	Petition to Revive - unintentional	
200		Filing for patent term adjustment	
400		Request for reinstatement of term reduced	
1,120		Extension of term of patent	

OTHER FEE
(specify)

Subtotal (Additional Fees)

Total Amount of Payment: \$130.00

Submitted by:

CUSTOMER NUMBER

25763

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

Name: **David E. Bruhn**


Phone No.: **612-340-6317**

Fax No.: **612-340-8856**

Signature:

Reg. No.: **36,762**

Date: **Sept 13, 2005**



Docket: 33875/US

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	
First Named Inventor:	Roney Graf
Appln. No.:	10/767,837
Filing Date:	January 29, 2004
Title:	Administering Apparatus Comprising a Rotational Block
Examiner:	Unknown
Group Art Unit:	3761

**PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d)
ACCELERATED EXAMINATION**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

I hereby certify that this document is being sent via First Class U.S. mail addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this 13 day of September, 2005.

Francis Egan
(Signature)

Dear Sir:

Applicants hereby request that this application be granted special status pursuant to M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Subpart VIII (A)-(E).

(A) The fee of \$ 130.00 set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.17(h), § 1.102 (to make application special) is submitted in a check herewith. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 04-1420.

(B) Applicants Claims are Directed to a Single Invention

All currently pending claims are directed to a single invention. See enclosed Preliminary Amendment canceling all but claims 1-12.

09/16/2005 SDENB031 00000025 10767837

01 FC:1464

130.00 DP

(C) A Pre-Examination Search Has Been Made

A pre-examination search was performed by the International Searching Authority in connection with International Patent Application No. PCT/CH02/00413, filed on July 22, 2002, which claims priority to German Application No. 101 63 358.9, filed on December 21, 2002 and German Application No. 201 12 501.3, filed on July 30, 2001, from

which the present application is a continuation. The search field included data in classification IPC 7 A61M.

(D) Copies of Cited References

An information disclosure statement was filed citing and submitting the eight documents discussed below. Because the documents are of record, copies of the previously cited documents are not included.

(E) Detailed Discussion of References

A discussion of the documents cited in the PCT search report points out, with particularity required by 37 C.F.R. 1.111(b) and (c), how the claimed subject matter distinguishes over the documents follows:

1. U.S. Patent 4,592,745
The reference discloses a dispenser device.
2. U.S. Patent 4,973,318
The reference discloses a disposable syringe.
3. U.S. Patent 5,611,783
The reference discloses a pen-shaped syringe.
4. U.S. Patent 6,221,046
The reference discloses a recyclable medication dispensing device.
5. German Patent 44 25 763 A1
The reference discloses an injection device.
6. European Patent Application 1 095 668 A1
The reference discloses an electronic medication delivery pen.
7. European Patent Application 0 594 349 A1

The reference discloses a device to prevent refilling a syringe.

8. PCT Publication WO 97/17095

The reference discloses an injection device for a liquid medicament.

Each of the above-mentioned references fails to disclose the elements of the independent claim. Specifically, the above-mentioned references fail to disclose or suggest: [a]n administering apparatus for administering a fluid product in doses, said administering apparatus comprising: a) a casing comprising a reservoir for said product; b) a driven device which performs a delivery stroke in an advancing direction along a translational axis, in order to deliver a product dosage; c) a drive device which effects the delivery movement; d) a dosage setting member which is coupled to said driven device such that a rotational dosing movement which said dosage setting member and the driven device perform about said translational axis relative to each other causes an axial translational dosing movement of the dosage setting member relative to the driven device and said casing; e) a translational stopper which the dosage setting member lies opposite, axially facing, in an axial end position; f) and a rotational block having a plurality of axially oriented rotational stoppers, which in said end position permits the rotational dosing movement in a first rotational direction and blocks the rotational dosing movement in the other, second rotational direction, in order to prevent the dosage setting member from being pressed axially against said translational stopper by the rotational dosing movement.

Clearly, all the requirements of M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Subpart VIII (A)-(E) have been met, and grant of this petition for special handling is requested.

The Office is invited to telephone the undersigned if doing so will help.

Respectfully submitted,

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
Customer Number 25763

Date:

September 13, 2005

By:

David E. Bruhn

David E. Bruhn, Reg. No. 36,762
(612) 340-6317